



AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS: None

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The examiner's extensive review of the references and claims is appreciated.

The reference to Robertson (U.S. Patent Application **US2001/004744**) has been noted. However, the original claimed subject matter as clarified by this amendment is to an I/O coupling channel improvement and has nothing to do with a conduit for transferring data as described in the Robertson publication. In Robertson a convention personal computer 14 receives and transmits data to and from external data nodes through a communications network and servers as an intermediary between external data nodes and a conduit 10 to provide data transfer between a kiosk and a wide variety of hand held devices to provide cross-platform data transferring services to average users from a first data format to a second data format. This is not what is claimed. Overall, the specifics used to accomplish the tasks outlined in the abstract are set out in the amended claims.

Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested. **The amendment claims an I/O channel improvement which is not the same art as the data communication conduits talked about by Robertson. The invention allows computers systems having new speed channels to communicate and interoperate with systems having only and limited low speed channels and to intercouple these systems as I/O coupling channels as set out in the title of this invention and in the claims now presented and as shown in the drawings. Thus, the applicants believe the art cited not art teaching in the areas of the invention. For the examiner's review, I/O channels are used by various vendors, including IBM as in US06728772, General Signal as in US05867648; Hewlett Packard as in US06108721; as in US05867648 Unisys as in US4437157; Dell USA as in US05517671. In this area of art a person skilled in the art would understand that I/O channels are related to the subject matter claimed. Reconsideration in light of the existing related art is now appropriate. When this is done, the Examiner will understand that no known reference even suggests the area for improvement, let alone the claimed inventive solution first disclosed here.**


It is believed that this application now stands in condition for allowance. A notice of allowance is now respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,
For the Inventor(s).

By


Lynn L. Augspurger, Reg. No. 24,227
845-433-1174

Date


Oct 23, 2004

There are no attachments.